SAIGON-If the Hanoi regime refuses to negotiate a political settlement for peace within South Vietnam, a crushing long-term dilemna facing free world policymakers would be whether or not to bomb the Chinese Communist atomic bomb plants.

For, unless the Hanci regime, plus the Communist-led National
Liberation Front within South Vietnam, prepare to negotiate by the
end of monsoon rainy season in September, a probably elternative
probable policy alternative is "super-escalation"—a bigger regional
war—which would ultimately that to a dramatic, violent confrontation
between America and her allies and Communist China.

(More)

deepe superescalation-2 june 24, 1965

An additional first behind-the-scenes factor in the "super-escalation" is the apparently mounting pressure from the "hawks" within the Pentagon, plus hardening attitude of Chinese Nationalist and South Vietnamese the months generals that the end of m the monsoom rainy season, or show the months thereafter, offers the "now or never—all or nothing" time to bomb the Communist China, its atomic bomb plants and its economic means of production which gives them it the capability of producing the muclear devices.

The difference between this "bigger regional war," which would involve a number of Asian countries, and a world war depends on whether or not the Soviet Union and America's European allies become involved.

(More)

deepe superescalation-3 june 24, 1965

According to high-placed sources, if the Hanoi regime does not negotiate this fall, high-ranking Chinese Nationalist military officers have urged, and offered, to use Chinese Nationalist ground troops either for an invasion of the Hainan Island, which lies in the Gulf of Tonkin off the coast of North Viet Nam, or a direct frontal attack on the mainland. The marked same manufacture manufacture while the Chinese Nationalist armed forces totals 600,000 ment he second largest number in Asia—such invasions would require logistical and other support from the United States, which they have already requested.

So far, they have been told "that's not the way America does things," according to one reliable source. Winter Chinese Nationalist officials have also reportedly, on good authority, asked that either America bombs the Chinese Communist atomic bomb plants, or else that the United States gives the manufacture of the

other reliable sources in Saigon indicate that if Hanoi refuses
to negotiate a by fall, the most immediate form of escalation will be
not only more American airstrikes nearer to Hanoi and the Chinese
Communist border frontiers, but may also include a two-pronged
t ground in a invasion of American troops, perhaps with other Asian
troops, with one wave invading from the sea and the second invading
from the Mekong Belfalegions of Thailand, forming one giant pincher movement

superescalation-4 june 24, 1965

Following this phase of escalation, the next stage would be

China itself. The leaking of these possible plans to Western

newsmen by official sources were considered intented to estatish

create a political threat for the Hanoi and Peking regimes to consider—

much like

the official discussions about bombing North Vietnam were publicly

aired one year before the actual bombings took place.

These sources imply that without negotiatons the choice facing

America and American-backed South Viet Nam is clearly either a

political defect political-military defeat or a bigger war, and
that clearly a bigger war is the lesser military and more acceptable
of the two evils.

A third alternative—a last-chance attempt for total victory within South Vietnam at by implementing a dramatic political, economic, social of program after/securing selected areas—is still talked of by Western diplomats im Saigon, but seems to have little support to within the American mission in Saigon, are Vice President. Hubert Humphrey briefly mentioned this alternative in a recent speech, which may indicate new thinking along these lines at the highest levels of the Administration. Part of this program, as seen from Saigon diplomats, would entail using President Johnson's \$2 billion economic development program as a means to victory rather than as a reward for mentioned for Hanoi to negotiate.

deepe superescalation—5 june 24, 1965

To analyze future alternatives in Vietnam,

One of the most difficult and most critical basic judgments to be ax made is the extent of the Sino-Soviet split. According to reliable sources, Warmston some officials in Washington regard the split as irreparable and bound to increase in its intensity and depth. Hence, an extension of this line of reasoning is that the increase im the Moscow presence in Hanci would be a leveling counter-balance to the militant pro-Peking line, that Moscow would be a favorable, though perhaps marginal, pro-negotiating influence on the Hanci regime. Hence, the Russian missile sites outside of Hanci should not be bombed—before the missiles are placed in them. According to reliable sources, the Russians "are not rushing" to install the missiles, which indicates the tithat leads some officials to believe they are training North Vietnamese technicians to man the missiles, rather than to bring in Soviet experts.

"Officials in Washington breathed a sigh of relief when they learned the Russian missile size sites and bombers;" one reliable source indicated.

Anti-Communist Vietnamese sources, however, virtually laugh a t this viewpoint, stating that there is no difference in strategy between Moscow a nd Peking regarding Vietnam specifically and subversive warfare im general, but only a difference im tactics.

These sources believe the Soviet missile sites should be bombed immediately, fearing that the Russians will install—not the defensive surface—to—air missilesm as Washington has suggested—but instead will clandestinely slip im offensive intercontinten inter-continental ballistic missiles, which could be used for political blackmail against Hong Kong, Singapore, Manila or Saigon.

"There's not difference between Moscow and Peking about Vietnamese except in tactics," one anti-Communist Vietnamese generals explained. The Moscow line is to wim Vietnam at the conference table, the Peking line is to wim it on the battlefield, thus giving America a massive defeat. It's simply a question of dying fast or dying slow—but it's still the end of Viet Nam."