

January 1., 1967 SERIES--article two of five-article peries

Page 1

week cignelled on implicit admission of past strategic failures in countering the Communist revolutionary war commonly called the "ar "war of the future."

"You lost the revolutionary war, but you hadto bring in conventional ground combat battalions and bomber squadrons to prevent the Communists from winning it," one Western official adminished an American observer. "You have to fight a wer with the conventional power you have; you can not fight it the un-conventional troops you don't have."

Discussing the arrival of American combat units two years ago, one senior Western diplomat explained, "This means the only subversive-guerrilla wer America can win in the future is the one it never has to fight militarily."

pushing and imploring here—mostly without vein success—for a full-scale reappreciable of the American defense approach in countering the Communist revolutionary war, or insurgency as it is often called.

(More)

pogo 2

explained, "Even if we lose the mar and South Vietnem, yet learn something from our mistakes to prevent other Vietnems, we will have gained a little.

But, I don't even see that we are doing that."

merican mix efficials here, who maintain south Vietnam would have been lost without American bombing of North Vietnam and the introduction of American ground tree in Nouth Vietnam, say there are three reasons for the escalation. First, to stop aggression. Though this is the official administration position, they agree it is the vaguent and loast convincing. Second, to stop Communist Chim. This view is widely expressed not only in private conversations with American officials, but also with educated South Vietnamene, he view the Chinese and propolling influence between the North Vietnamene infiltration. Third, and most significant, to counter the Communist revolute revolutionary warfare, which has been described as "that unboly trinity of subversion, terrorism and userilla warfare." Communist radio broadcasts claim to have guerrilla movements in Venzuela, Colinia, Columbia, Ourtemals and Thailand.

There are some of the stratogic reapproisals that American officials, specking privately, hope are made in an attempt to prevent future Vietnams:

Deepe Series—article two of five-article series Page 3

1. I now look" at the U. S. foreign at economic aid mogram. Reconomists here note American foreign aid program has been unchanged in approach designed and consent since its foundings days & of the Marchall Plan to DOSSESSED A LAFER the N row build a war-leve tated Europe. But, Europe a technologically sophisticated, middle-class economy with a machine capable of utilizing woll the American aid; in under-developed countries, these sources note there is no such economic mediatery to absorbs and utilize well American aid. These source note that more or had must be lev placed on the rural agricultural so tors of the under-eveloped economies of ore Communist-Inspired subversion and guerrilla warfare generally begins. (In the first five years of American economic aid to Vietnem, when the Communist guerrillas were wining momentum in the countryside, only four per cent of the American economic aid was spont on agriculture although 85 per cent of the population lived in the country side).

technical monistance and advice and suggest that future "U. . strings" should require imprison technicians to be coupled with the giving of american modes and aid. These courses say that even with the civing of "running on a trendmill" to keep page with the population explosion—and that a major breakthrough must be found to solve the food crisis. Other sources also believe the American economic aid program should attempt in a broader, more systematic way to train honest, efficient government functionairres and administrators, economists and policemen—a shortcoming American officials admit they i made in Vietnam. (More)

Page 4

2. A systematic review of American military aid to under-developed countries. This military aid, under the military Assistance Program (MP), was in the case of Vietnam—geared thanks building a conventional, army organized into divisions and supported by planes and tunks to fight a Communist-inspired guerrilla war, rather than local militia and efficient rural policement, who muld cull cut shaw Communist elitical subversives before a guerrilla war could erupt.

remonsible for the training of troops to use the American equipment. In 1955, in Vietnam the American advisory effort began to train a convenion conventional army mirroring the American armed forces; the arrival of American combat forces ten years I ter substantiated that they should have been training local militianen as the Vietnames government initially requested in 1955 when the Communist insurpency was latent. The final paradox is, however, that in 1967, when the Communist forces had mushroomed into sub-conventional forces, the American and Vietnamese military commands assigned the Vietnames armed forces to assume the rolf role of village militianen, or "to play Boy Scout," as one American advisor explained.

"America lost the Vietnamese guerrilla wer because of the conventional Korona conflict," one high-placed official explained. "America assumed that Hanci would inwade the South with a conventional army as the Communists did in Korea; the ignored the fact that Hanci examp was going to attempt to saise So South Vietnam via a more subtle military-political route."

(more)

Paries—article two of five-article meries

U. S. military advisory effort to support the Naturanese armed forces was grossly inndequate to stop the Communist attent to seize military and political control of the country. By March, 1965, the first American ground combat troops arrived—yet, even the military build-up revealed a lack of ever all, integrated political-economic-military lendership, thich possessed the vision to anticipate and prevent the critical problems which later crup od. The first American troops are spent American mickels and dimes in the villages, which signalled to the Vietnamese peasants a later loss of evereignity. In some village, village chiefs rivalled each other and voved to pitch their respective local militia units against each other in order to get the American currency at favorable black market rates. The inflationary pressure, which marrly recked the Vietnamese occurry, could have the Kilding property and by limiting the amount of currency eveilable, as had been the case during the U. S. advisory effort, political sources report.

More than that, with the countryside in Communist hands, and the anti-Communist political and miditary base of support rested in the cities. But, the arrival of American troops tossed the cities into a crimis—bars and prostitutes openly flourished, which caused political cycsores and social frictions; squabbles erupted with Vietnamese drives and street vendors. Housing rents reached exercitant rates as both American CI's and rest Vietnamese refugees from the manner flocked to the cities. The result was waves of anti-Americanism, which the Communists could and did exploit, specifically during the Buddhist crisis last spring which almost toppled the pro-incrican government. Nore urban disorder is predicted in 1967.

leer e

Page 6

political nounces believe it may be too late to dempen the anti-Americanism as, two years after the initial merican escalation which has rounced and the Communists and the cities are in a state of pop potentially disasterous insurrection.

"This wak war will be won by the mide which my kes the forest mestakes,"

SENIOR

one sector officials explained. "So far, the Communists are making

fewer than the Americans."